

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		E FI	RST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,287 09/24/2003			Gary Karlin Michelson	101.0092-02000	6591
22882	7590 08/0	8/2007		EXAM	INER
	& FERRARO, LI				
1557 LAKE O'PINES STREET, NE HARTVILLE, OH 44632		, NE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Sop

## Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)

	Application No.	Applicant(s) MICHELSON, GARY KARLIN		
•	10/669,287			
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Bruce E. Snow	3738		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The A	Appeal	Brief filed	on	<u>12 April 2007</u>	is defective	for failure to	comply with	n one or m	iore provisions of 37	CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.** 

1.	heading or in the proper order.
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. 🗆	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🛚	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6. 🛚	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner <b>and relied upon by appellant in the appeal</b> , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
	The following two rejections were not addressed: Claims 73 and 88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nolan (6,117,174) in view of Michelson (5,785,710) as above, in further view of Ray et al. (4,961,740). Claims 77-83 and 89-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nolan

BRUCESNOW PRIMARY EXAMINER

in the art. .

(6,117,174) in view of Michelson (5,785,710) as above, in further view of what would be obvious to one of ordinary skill